URGENT ACTION

**EXECUTION SET AFTER 32 YEARS ON DEATH ROW**

**The State of Florida is scheduled to execute Donald Dillbeck on 23 February 2023. Now aged 60, he was sentenced to death in 1991 after only eight jurors voted for the death penalty under a law since found unconstitutional. His lawyers are seeking recognition that his neurobehavioral disorder is akin to intellectual disability and should exempt him from execution under constitutional law. They also maintain that the prosecution’s use of his prior conviction for murder to support the death penalty is undermined by new evidence that reveals more mitigating than aggravating factors than the original jury heard in this regard.**

**TAKE ACTION:**

1. Write a letter in your own words or using the sample below as a guide to one or both government officials listed. You can also email, fax, call or Tweet them.
2. [Click here](https://www.amnestyusa.org/report-urgent-actions/) to let us know the actions you took on ***Urgent Action 16.23***. It’s important to report because we share the total number with the officials we are trying to persuade and the people we are trying to help.

**Office of Governor Ron DeSantis**  
State of Florida  
The Capitol  
400 S. Monroe St.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001, USA

Email: <https://www.flgov.com/email-the-governor/>

Dear Governor DeSantis,

Donald Dillbeck is scheduled to be executed in Florida on 23 February. He was sentenced to death in 1991 after the jury voted 8-4 for the death penalty. That sentencing scheme was ruled unconstitutional by the US Supreme Court in 2016. Donald Dillbeck is among those to whom that ruling does not apply, not because of the facts of their cases, but the timing of them. As one Florida Supreme Court Justice said, this left constitutional protection depending on “little more than a roll of the dice.”

Since trial, Donald Dillbeck has been diagnosed with Neurobehavioral Disorder Associated with Prenatal Alcohol Exposure (ND-PAE). His adaptive and cognitive deficits have been described by medical experts as “functionally identical” to the criteria that the US Supreme Court recognized in 2002 as requiring exemption from execution of people with intellectual disability.

I urge you to grant Donald Dillbeck a reprieve and to work to ensure his death sentence is commuted.

Yours sincerely,

**Additional information**

On 26 February 1991, a jury in Florida convicted Donald Dillbeck of the murder of a woman who was fatally stabbed outside a shopping mall in Tallahassee on 24 June 1990. Donald Dillbeck had been arrested soon after the murder. At the time, he was serving a life sentence for a 1979 murder but had absconded during a day-release event.

At that time, Florida law allowed bare majority juries (7-5) to recommend the death penalty. In Donald Dillbeck’s case, the jury voted eight to four for the death penalty, and on 15 March 1991 the judge sentenced him to death. In 2016, in *Hurst v. Florida*, the US Supreme Court (USSC) ruled Florida’s statute unconstitutional because it gave juries only an advisory role in death sentencing, incompatible with its 2002 *Ring v. Arizona* decision that the US Constitution requires juries rather than judges to make the factual findings necessary to sentence a defendant to death. Florida law now requires juror unanimity for death sentencing.

In late 2016 the Florida Supreme Court (FSC) ruled that *Hurst* applied retroactively only to about half of the more than 300 people then on death row – those individuals whose death sentences had not yet been ‘finalized’ (meaning affirmed on initial automatic direct appeal) by the time of the *Ring* ruling. One dissenting Justice argued that to avoid arbitrariness *Hurst* should be applied across the board. Another accused the majority of “arbitrarily draw[ing] a line between June 23 and June 24, 2002 – the day before and the day after *Ring* was decided”, but without providing “a convincing rationale” for this differential treatment and leaving “constitutional protection [to] depend on little more than a roll of the dice.” Donald Dillbeck’s death sentence became final in 1995, and in 2018 the FSC affirmed that he could not benefit from *Hurst*. Some 150 others, meanwhile, have obtained relief under *Hurst*.

The USA ratified the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) in 1992. The UN Human Rights Committee, the expert body established under the ICCPR to monitor its implementation, have said of the absolute prohibition of the arbitrary deprivation of life that the notion of arbitrariness must be interpreted “to include elements of inappropriateness, injustice, lack of predictability and due process of law.” Amnesty International considers that the FSC’s application of *Hurst* falls short of these elements.

In *Atkins v. Virginia* in 2002, the USSC banned the execution of individuals with intellectual disability, due to their diminished culpability. In 2014, it emphasised that “in determining who qualifies as intellectually disabled” states must consult “the medical community’s opinions.” In 2020, two USSC Justices added that “the medical standards used to assess that disability constantly evolve as the scientific community’s understanding grows”. While Donald Dillbeck’s IQ score means he has not received a formal diagnosis of intellectual disability, his lawyers are arguing in their efforts in court, citing expert opinion, that “the specific cognitive and adaptive impairments caused by his extensive prenatal alcohol exposure are functionally identical to (and in some cases exceed) the criteria *Atkins* recognized as necessitating exemption from execution. As a result of his Neurobehavioral Disorder Associated with Prenatal Alcohol Exposure (“ND–PAE”) …, Mr. Dillbeck embodies the lessened culpability described in *Atkins”.*

A three-pronged assessment conducted by a neuropsychologist, a medical doctor, and a psychologist in 2018 and 2019, including brain scan imaging and neurological testing not available in 1991, concluded that Donald Dillbeck “satisfies the clinical criteria for ND–PAE, which requires: verified prenatal alcohol exposure; and deficits manifesting in childhood that span neurocognitive, self-regulatory, and adaptive realms.” His *in utero* alcohol exposure “which far exceeds the threshold for a ND–PAE diagnosis, caused significant, quantifiable impairments in cognitive and adaptive functioning.” The experts who assessed him concluded that these deficits would have directly impacted his conduct and functioning in relation to the 1990 murder, as well as in the 1979 case.

Donald Dillbeck’s lawyers point to a growing recognition within the medical community that “the unique cognitive, practical, and social impairments inherent to ND–PAE are indistinguishable from those of intellectual disability.” Also, that “IQ is a particularly inaccurate measure of intellectual functioning in individuals with ND–PAE. For example, someone with ND–PAE who has an IQ in the 80s may function adaptively as though their IQ is in the 60s or 70s.”

At his 1991 trial, the prosecution presented the aggravating factor of Donald Dillbeck’s capital murder conviction for the 1979 murder. The jury heard that the then 15-year-old fled Indiana in a stolen car and drove to Florida. Sleeping in the car there, he was woken up by a police officer. The boy tried to run away but was tackled by the officer. In the ensuing struggle, the teenager got hold of the officer’s gun, and two shots were fired, killing the officer. Donald Dillbeck pleaded guilty to first-degree premeditated murder. However, new witness evidence that was not presented at the 1991 trial paints a different picture, that of a boy who at the time of the shooting had had virtually no sleep for three days, had consumed drugs and was displaying symptoms of serious mental disability. Two experts have concluded from a review of the new evidence that at the time of the shooting the teenager was likely unable to distinguish right from wrong and both experts have voiced doubts that he was competent when he pleaded guilty.

Amnesty International opposes the death penalty unconditionally. There have been 1,562 executions in the USA since the US Supreme Court upheld new capital laws in 1976. There have been four executions in 2023; this would be the first in Florida since 2019 and its 100th since 1976. See [Darkness visible in the Sunshine State](https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/amr51/8959/2018/en/), 2018).

**PREFERRED LANGUAGE TO ADDRESS TARGET:** English**.** You may also write in your own language.

**PLEASE TAKE ACTION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE UNTIL:** 23 February 2023

**NAME AND PRONOUN:** Donald David Dillbeck (He/Him)