URGENT ACTION

PERSON WITH MENTAL DISABILITY FACES EXECUTION

Imdad Ali, a death row prisoner with a mental disability, is at imminent risk of execution. He was convicted of the murder of a religious teacher in 2002. In 2012, he was diagnosed with “paranoid schizophrenia”. International law clearly prohibits the use of the death penalty against people with mental or intellectual disabilities.

Imdad Ali was arrested for the murder of a religious teacher in 2001 and convicted in 2002 under 302(b) of the Pakistan Penal Code. In 2012, he was diagnosed with “paranoid schizophrenia”. Dr. Naemullah Leghari, the head of psychiatry at Nishtar Hospital in Multan who examined Imdad Ali, described his condition in a medical report as “a chronic and disabling psychiatric illness” that “impairs the person’s rational thinking and decision-making capabilities.”

In 2015 the Pakistani Supreme Court rejected Imdad Ali’s appeal, ruling that there was no evidence of his mental disability. However, the Supreme Court’s judgment shows that Imdad Ali’s state-appointed lawyer had not included the 2012 medical report diagnosing him with paranoid schizophrenia as evidence. This oversight raises fair trial concerns.

Imdad Ali has been issued two execution warrants since 2015. The latest execution was scheduled for 20 September 2016, but he was given a stay at the last minute so the Supreme Court could consider whether his execution warrant should be suspended on grounds of his mental disability. On Tuesday 27 September, the Supreme Court dismissed the appeal. Imdad Ali can now face imminent execution. He remains on death row in Vehari, Punjab province.

After the Supreme Court verdict Imdad Ali’s lawyers submitted a mercy petition to Pakistan’s President Mamnoon Hussain, who has the direct authority to commute his death sentence.

1) TAKE ACTION
Write a letter, send an email, call, fax or tweet:
- Urging the authorities to immediately grant Imdad Ali clemency and commute his death sentence, reminding them that international law clearly prohibits the use of the death penalty against people with mental or intellectual disabilities;
- Urging them to re-establish the official moratorium on all executions in the country as a first step towards the abolition of the death penalty, in line with five UN General Assembly resolutions adopted since 2007;
- Calling on them to ensure that any measures taken to combat crime do not violate Pakistan’s obligations under international human rights law and that all safeguards guaranteeing the rights of those facing the death penalty are respected.

Contact these 2 officials by 7 October, 2016:
President of Pakistan
Honourable Mr Mamnoon Hussain President’s Secretariat
Islamabad, Pakistan
Fax: +92 51 920 8479
Twitter: @Mamnoon_hussain
Salutation: Your Excellency

H.E. Ambassador Jalil Abbas Jilani, Embassy of The Islamic Republic of Pakistan
3517 International Ct NW, Washington DC 20008
Fax: 1 202 686 1534  I  Phone: 1 202 243 6500 Ext. 2000 & 2001  I  Email: ambassador@embassyofpakistanusa.org
Salutation: Dear Ambassador

2) LET US KNOW YOU TOOK ACTION
Here’s why it is so important to report your actions: we record the number of actions taken on each case and use that information in our advocacy. Either email uan@aiusa.org with “UA 222/16” in the subject line or click this link.
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ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Following the Pakistani Taliban attack on the army-run school in Peshawar on 16 December 2014, Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif partially lifted the six-year moratorium on executions, allowing the death penalty to be used in terrorism cases. On 11 March 2015 the Pakistan government announced that it was unconditionally lifting the moratorium for all capital crimes. They threatened to send up to 1,000 prisoners, who have exhausted all legal options and their mercy petitions, to the gallows. In total, more than 400 prisoners have been executed since the moratorium was lifted.

Around 7,000 prisoners were on death row in Pakistan at the end of 2015. The death penalty may be imposed in Pakistan for at least 27 crimes, including for non-lethal offences which do not meet the threshold of the "most serious crimes" to which the use of the death penalty must be restricted under Article 6.2 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), to which Pakistan is a State party.

Amnesty International unequivocally condemned the Peshawar school attack which resulted in 149 deaths, including 132 children. The organization called for thorough investigations into indiscriminate attacks and attacks against civilians, including the Peshawar attack. In addition, the organization insisted that suspected perpetrators face prosecution in proceedings that comply with international fair trial standards, without resort to the death penalty. Since the attack, Pakistan has amended its constitution to speed up the prosecution of terrorism-related cases and move them from civilian to military courts. The jurisdiction of military courts over terrorism-related cases raises serious concerns about fair trial guarantees.

Amnesty International opposes the death penalty in all cases and under any circumstances, regardless of the nature of the crime, the characteristics of the offender, or the method used by the state to carry out the execution. The organization considers the death penalty a violation of the right to life as recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ultimate cruel, inhuman and degrading punishment. Furthermore, there is no convincing evidence that the death penalty is a particular deterrent in capital crimes compared to other forms of punishment. The most comprehensive study carried out by the UN in 1988 and most recently updated in 2008 concluded that there is no proof that executions are a greater deterrent to crime than life imprisonment.

In Pakistan many death sentences are handed down following trials that do not meet international fair trial standards. These trials are characterized by a lack of access to legal counsel and an acceptance of evidence inadmissible under international law. Statements extracted through torture continue to be used as evidence in court. Defendants often face restrictions in trying to access a lawyer or are given state-appointed lawyers who are often poorly trained and paid, and may not vigorously represent their clients unless given further payments by the defendant or their family. In addition, the right to fair trial has been undermined in trials before lower courts which continue to sentence people to death. These courts operate with restricted public access and with the requirement for trials to be completed within a matter of days or weeks. In 2012 the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions concluded that military or other special jurisdictions should not have the authority to impose the death penalty.
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